NatSci Tenure-System Faculty Annual Evaluation Form*

Name	Departi	Department(s)		
Workload Expectations:	Research%	Teaching%	Service%	

Note: Text boxes in the form are limited in space. Add additional pages as needed.

D	eς	^-	m	c١	h
к	4c	eа	ır		n

Research				
☐ Below Expectations Insignificant scholarly or creative activity, or activity of a quantity or quality below expectations given rank, position, and workload expectations.	□ Needs Improvement Minimal amount of peer-reviewed scholarship and grant funding, or research productivity of low quality relative to rank, position, and workload expectations.	Good Good scholarly productivity relative to rank, position, and workload expectations; peer-reviewed publication in good journals; future plans with high likelihood of successful completion. Appropriate research funding.	Excellent Excellent scholarly achievement relative to rank, position, and workload expectations; publication in high- impact journals; completion of important research projects in accordance with long- term plans. Evidence of disciplinary leadership.	□ Outstanding Extremely significant and rigorous scholarship with demonstrable disciplinary impact, published in prestigious venues. Major scholarly achievements relative to rank, position, and workload expectations.
Research: Streng • •	ths/Weaknesses	of Activities/Achieve	ements, and Recom	mendations

Teaching/Student Engagement

□ Below	☐ Needs Improvement	□ Good	□ Excellent	□ Outstanding
Expectations	Fulfills all teaching responsibilities and meets	Fulfills all teaching responsibilities.	Fulfills all teaching	Fulfills all teaching responsibilities very
Problematic classroom or other teaching	minimal qualitative expectations	Evidence of solid	responsibilities	well. Demonstrable
performance; unreliable	in the classroom. One or more problematic elements in the area	work in the classroom; some	well. Evidence of overall excellence	overall excellence in teaching, advising, and
advising or mentoring, and frequent	of teaching, and minimal efforts	successful effort to	in teaching,	mentoring; leadership in
unavailability;	at improvement. Or some unreliable availability or	improve; good reliable student	advising, student mentoring;	course or curricular improvement, sharing of
indifference toward or unreasonable resistance to	mistakes in assigned advising or	mentoring and	curriculum or	expertise.
meeting teaching	mentoring, or little or no curricular development.	academic advising.	program development.	
standards	*	/	•	1
Teaching: Strength	ns/Weaknesses of Activi	ties/Acnieveme	nts, and Recom	imendations
•				
•				
•				

^{*} Adapted from measuredreasons.com, MSU ADVANCE grant faculty performance review toolkit, CNS RPT guidelines, MSU RPT recommendation guidelines, and LBC faculty annual evaluation materials. Revised 12/13/2010-format revised 4/2016.

Engagement i	n Leadership/Serv	vice/Outreach		
□ Below Expectations Little or no meaningful or useful activity in serving department, College, or University in important ways. Or, behavior of a professionally unacceptable kind or harmful effect. Engagement: Strei	□ Needs Improvement A minimal level of useful activity, relative to rank, seniority, and workload expectations, in serving the program, department, College, University or profession. ngths/Weaknesse	☐ Good Consistently effective service at multiple organizational and professional levels appropriate to rank and seniority; shows initiative; responsive to needs of students, colleagues, and department.	Excellent Excellent initiative and effort with consistently beneficial results on important projects, appropriate to rank and position at multiple organizational and professional levels.	effort and results in important projects; generosity of spirit in
•				
Allocation of MSU	space and resour	ces: (attach descrip	tion if modification	on is necessary)
☐ Space or resources shoul	d be reallocated.	ace or resources appropriate	e.	or resources required.
Summary ¹ and	l Outlook: Progre	ss, Plans, and Futu	re Promotions	
Faculty Member S	ignature		Da	te
Please refer any unres	olved questions or co	ncerns about this ann	ual review to natsci	dean@msu.edu.
☐ I have a written resp	oonse, and the response	se is attached. directly to natsci.dear		
Department Chair	(s)/Administrato	r(s) Signature	Da	te

 $^{^{\}mathrm{1}}\mathrm{For}$ a faculty member on sabbatical leave during the review year, comment here on sabbatical accomplishments.

NatSci Outside Work for Pay Disclosure Form*

All faculty members (tenure system and fixed term) at the rank of instructor through professor who hold appointments of at least 50% time are required to obtain approval of <u>all</u> outside work for pay with the following exceptions (these activities are not regulated by the outside work for pay policy):

- presentations at professional meetings and other similar gatherings
- peer review of articles and grant proposals
- leadership positions in professional societies
- preparation of scholarly publications
- editorial services for educational or professional organizations
- service on advisory committees or evaluation panels for government funding agencies, nonprofit foundations, or educational organizations
- Musical and other creative performances and exhibitions, if there is an expectation in the faculty member's discipline that he/she will engage in such performances or exhibitions.

Pay includes:

Anything of value received in consideration for work (except reimbursement of expenses, indemnification, or insurance coverage for claims arising out of or occurring in connection with the work). Examples of pay include, but are not limited to, any salary, fee, honorarium, stock, stock option, monetary gift or contribution beyond actual expense, or the promise of any of these in the future. Work for any business or other for-profit enterprise owned or operated by a faculty member or by his/her relative(s), shall be considered "pay" (whether or not the faculty member receives anything of value in consideration for the work)

I do not anticipate having any outside work for pay from July 1- June 30, 20, but will request and obtain written approval from my unit administrator and dean or director before engaging in outside work for pay during this period.
☐ I anticipate receiving pay for outside work and have attached the MSU Outside Work for Pay/Overload Pay form.
Signature/Date
Name

^{*}Based on the MSU Policy on Outside Work for Pay.

^{**} N.B. MSU policy also requires disclosure of outside work for pay during the summer.